

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/090,693	03/04/2002	James H. Obermeyer	34044-3	8492
7590 10/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Charles J. Meyer, Esq.			GORDON, STEPHEN T	
Woodard, Emhardt, Naughton, Moriarty and McNett			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			3612	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.65' 44' 0	10/090,693	OBERMEYER, JAMES H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit A. I. /				
	Stephen Gordon	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Second	eptember 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	ı) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-11,17,24,27-30 and 36 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36 is/are withdrawn f 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-11,17,24 and 27-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rom consideration.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002 and 05</u> Examiner.	April 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application fity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/090,693

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Applicant should note, in as much as independent claims 1 and 17 have been determined to define patentable subject matter as noted below, previously withdrawn claims 11 and 30 have been rejoined with the application in an effort to expedite prosecution. A complete action on the merits for claims 11 and 30 is included herein.

2. Newly submitted claim 36 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: see detailed restriction in paragraphs 3-6 below.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 36 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 3-11, 17, 24, and 27-30, drawn to a subcombination trailer, classified in class 298, subclass 17R.
 - II. New claim 36, drawn to a combination trailer and container, classified in class 414, subclass 288+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

Ą

Art Unit: 3612

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because at least a defined gap as recited is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as use for dumping contents into a landfill.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Claims 1, 3-11, 17, 24, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, "the angle" in the last line lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as —an angle—for clarity as best understood.

Re claim 11, line 2 of the claim is somewhat awkward. As best understood, -- and—could be inserted after "arm" of line 2 to clarify the claim in this regard.

Re claim 17, "the angle" in the last line lacks clear antecedent basis and could be written as —an angle—for clarity as best understood. Additionally, "said connection location" bridging lines 9 and 10 lacks clear antecedent basis as a connection location per se is previously recited at both lines 5 and 7.

Art Unit: 3612

7. Claims 1, 3-11, 17, 24, and 27-30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gordon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612